appear to be taking all the risk and the developers none?

2.5

As a resident of this metropolitan area, I have another qualm because to make our investment work we have to spend more money to attend the Oz development and convince everyone in the area that they should spend a lot of their money there so that the investment can reap any type of return.

As fast as facts change on the Oz development issue, I can imagine a year or so down the road the developers coming back to us with their heads down, saying that they can't possibly finish the cleanup with the money they now have and could they please have more or they will have to fold and move back to California or stay on their now-clean few-hundred acres, with their established tax base. What will our legislators do next? Give them more money or admit a loss?

Our legislators continue to take a stand and honorably say no to gaming. But I can foresee another type of Woodlands plea for the State to take drastic measures to keep alive a development that the market can't bear. The only development worse than the proposed Oz-type development would be of the casino-type.

I am a voting resident of Johnson County in the state of the Kansas. I don't have the time or money to hire a well-known lobbyist like Bud Burke -- if that's how you say his name -- and a well-known tax lawyer like Larry Winn to represent my views. I don't have the time or money to attend hearings in Topeka during the workday and I especially don't have the time and money to wine and dine legislators or make financial promises as is being made to sway Wyandotte's support. am one of the people who will be most affected by the decisions made. I cannot stress more how a public comment session needs to be held by the State of Kansas and Johnson County. Johnson County Commissioner Johnna Lingle keeps telling the press that a public comment session will be held, but we are left to wonder in what century.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

1.3

14

15

1.6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In finishing, I don't see the point in turning nine hundred -- 9,000-plus acres of possible environmental beauty into a tourist trap where no one wants to live or visit. Skip Palmer of Oz says that Midwesterners travel. Sure, maybe once for curiosity's sake. How often did any of you visit Worlds of Fun or Oceans of Fun this year? Now, how many of you visited your neighborhood

park, ball field or library this year? These are the types of questions the State and County should consider when making land-use decisions.

1.3

The State of Kansas should remove their proposal to pay fair market value of the entire plant. If I had more time, I could tell you my 17 red-flag reasons why. Then I am all for letting GSA parcel out the Public Benefit Conveyances and taking their 20 or so years for the Army to clean it up. This is not -- this is not so far away from the cleanup timetable of 15 years that Oz proposes. Many of the conveyances are for returning the land to a natural state, and, as I -- as I advocated at the last public hearing, letting -- letting our children's children enjoy a legacy worth having.

My name is Nancy Moneymaker. I live in Overland Park, Kansas, and I have land south of the Army Ammunition Plant. Thank you.

MR. McGUIRE: Thank you. The next speaker is Vince Shawver.

MR. SHAWVER: Thank you very much.

Can you hear me? I am Dr. Vincent Shawver. I'm an educator with the Kansas City, Kansas, School

District. I am also an elected neighborhood representative in the Cedar Creek development.

We're six miles to the east of De Soto.

The people in my neighborhood, about 45 homes, are very concerned. We basically think this is an undignified development. We are very proud of Cedar Creek and we see you here and we see lights and water towers. To quote one of my neighbors, "We don't want to look at roller coasters." Some other quotes -- (applause) -- I moved to Cedar Creek in '92, since then I think the highway traffic has tripled on the K-10 corridor. We're concerned about that. Another resident is concerned about the quality of jobs that this project will bring. This neighbor expressed -- thinks that because of the quality of jobs it will bring, they would rather see some other use of the land. And I think that's pretty much it.

I'm also concerned about the citizens of De Soto. I am a realtor as well, but De Soto is developing. Do you really want this kind of development in your neighborhood? That's pretty much it. Thank you very much for your time.

MR. McGUIRE: Thank you. The next speaker is Philip Klein.

MR. KLEIN: My name is Philip

Klein. I live at 8301 Briar Lane. I represent a

group called Turn It Off Committee. We fought the Power & Light District. We are basically financing an eminent domain. I think this falls under that because this is basically, investigating it, this is basically a land scam just like the Power & Light District is. Actually, this is bigger.

Now, one of the questions that I look at is that, you know, as with the Richards-Gebaur Air Force Base, the GSA is investigating the aviation commission for getting pressure, political pressure to sell this thing. I see the same thing basically happening with this plant here. I think the GSA should investigate if there was any political pressure on -- putting on to do this. Another thing that I want to say why this is a scam is, you know, these developers, different than the Power & Light District, have no experience building an amusement park, you know? I think that is a good question to, you know, the people out there. How are we going to build it?

Now, the question to really ask is, they build this thing, it doesn't work, they're going to do the same thing they did with the Woodlands. They're going to say, oh, we can't make it work Then they are going to without a casino, you know.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

1.2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

go, oh, we're going to need a casino to make this work.

1.0

1.5

Another plan that concerns the environmentalists is -- and I asked a Star reporter today, is, well, one of the things the government has been known for doing in Florida and California, is, the government has -- when they sell those properties, say, oh, yeah, we'll clean it up the next time we lease. And basically what this does is waives all the responsibility the government had. Well, there was a case north of Los Angeles where they sold the property, they signed a lease, they said bulldoze it, and they came across a lot of ammunition. Now, you know, this is a worry for them.

Another thing that I found, looking at the corporation papers, is they're getting loans from the Cayman Islands. I got the corporation papers right here. Now, that's where you question, why are they getting loans from the Cayman Islands? Everybody knows what the Cayman Islands is and why the money is coming from the Cayman Islands. I think that's very questionable.

Now, our group is considering, if they do this project, filing a class action lawsuit on

behalf of the taxpayers of Kansas. And we're really considering this because this thing is nothing but a land scam. There's no public hearings; they promised public hearings. We can't get the documents. I mean, I think this should be investigated, you know, what's really going on here, and I think the taxpayers have a damn right to know, you know, why this project is being funneled through. And you know the environmental problems? I've heard stories about people who live near De Soto, you know. If they don't clean this up correctly, are we going to get stuck with it, if this thing basically goes bust, you know.

They claim they're going to stay open so many times a year. Now, the question is there is no way an amusement park is going to stay open in the times of the year they claim it's going to stay open. The question is: If they don't make the money, are we going to get stuck with bonds, you know. Like a lot of other developments around the country that have gone bust, the taxpayers are responsible for the bonds, you know. I don't care what the Kansas Financial Board says, but they are a state agency and if they issue those bonds they're basically responsible for those bonds.

That means we're going to get stuck with them. Thank you.

2.1

2.4

MR. McGUIRE: Thank you. That does it for the list of people who have signed up to speak already. As I mentioned, we would like to afford anyone who did not sign up, but who would like to speak, the opportunity to do so. Are there any other people at this time that would like to step up to the mike? Please state your name and address for the record.

MS. HUGHES: I am Marian R. Hughes from Mission Hills. This is a statement which I read to the Johnson County Commissioners a week ago today. I wasn't prepared to talk tonight. I hope the commissioners will not endorse the Wonderful World of Oz theme park project. I feel there are many negative aspects which far outweigh any possible benefits.

First, the economic side. Can this area support another amusement park so close to Worlds of Fun? Were would the customers come from? It's not in a high-visibility location along an interstate highway. Since it would be closed a good part of the year -- I understand this operates 167 days or 180-some days -- it cannot provide high

quality year-round employment to its workers.

Mr. Corey (phonetic), CEO of Oz, has promised Wyandotte County lawmakers a significant number of jobs to Wyandotte County residents once the park opens in 2002. Does this mean a quota system? He also promised to work with the Board of Public Utilities to possibly allow that utility to supply a collegiate site and to establish programs for Kansas City Kansas Community College to train potential employees. How is this beneficial to Johnson County? The nearby residents will have enormous trash and traffic problems as well as a lower quality of life.

I don't pretend to understand financing for a project of this magnitude, but it appears to me that the promoters haven't given us accurate, consistent figures. Forty-two percent financing from the public sector is something any promoter would drool over, but as a private-enterprise amusement park, it could well turn into a white elephant that we Kansans would finance to the tune of about \$324 million.

Even if this project were as wonderful as its wizards hope it will be, it will be an environmental catastrophe to Kill Creek Park, which

is supposed to be a nature preserve and quiet haven, the gem of the Johnson County park system. Kill Creek is something we naturists have been looking forward to for a long time. Oz will certainly ruin every bit of tranquility we had hoped for. Better uses can be found for this land and I urge the commissioners, and anybody else who has control, not to give the Oz project an endorsement or active support until other options with less negative impact on the quality of life in western Johnson County can be found and considered. Thank you.

MR. McGUIRE: Thank you.

MR. ANDERSON: My name is John
Anderson. I live in Olathe, Kansas. I hadn't
planned on coming out here tonight, but my wife
talked me into coming. And I am glad I did. And I
thank both you gentlemen for giving me and all of
these folks here tonight an opportunity to perhaps
learn a little more than we were knowledgable about
when we came in.

Now, I want to submit, and I will submit, a statement of a few thoughts during the time you expressed will be allowed. I heard, I think, one of you say that before this transfer can take